IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.1720 of 2007

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R.M. Pillai, Advocate for Petitioner.

Coram : A.H. Joshi & R.C. Chavan, JJ.
Dated : 16th April, 2007

1. The petitioner approached the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal making a grievance against the transfer.

2. We have perused the judgment of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. We find that the petitioner had tried to magnify his grievance. The Tribunal found that the grievance of the petitioner does not have any force, since the transfer was made by the Government and further the detailed posting was left to the local Officer. The impugned order, therefore, is not liable to be viewed as the transfer in the light of the grievance made by the petitioner. We find that the Tribunal has taken into account all aspects involved.

3. The petitioner's grievance seems to have been that he should be accommodated as per his choice as per the transfer order dated 16-9-2006 and

that this aspect is not considered by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.

4. We find that the impugned judgment of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal does not call for any interference.

5. The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

Judge Judge

pdl.